

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,487		01/04/2002	Nannette M. VanAntwerp	PD-0268 DIV 2	6491	
23608	7590	03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
- -		NIMED INC.	GILBERT, ANDREW M			
18000 DEVONSHIRE STREET NORTHRIDGE, CA 91325-1219				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3767		
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/038,487	VANANTWERP ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Andrew M. Gilbert	3767						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>02 May 2002</i> .							
·— ·)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 36-59 is/are pending in the ap	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-59</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-59</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of		received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/2002</u>. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 						

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

1. Applicant's amendment of the specification and claims before examination in the reply filed on 5/5/2002 has been considered. The amendment of the specification to include material from U.S. Patent No. 5586553 has been noted and is not considered new matter. Applicant had originally cancelled all claims except claim 1, being claims 2-35, as noted on page 2, paragraph 4 in the transmittal letter filed on 1/4/2002. Amendment of the claims to cancel claim 1 and add claims 38-61 in the reply filed on 5/5/02 has been noted. The examiner has renumbered newly added claims 38-61 to be claims 36-59 because the Applicant had originally only had claims 1-35. Thus, claims 36-59 are pending.

Priority

2. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09/346835 now US Patent No. 6368141, filed 7/2/1999 and prior Application No. 08/871831 now US Patent No. 5954643, filed 6/9/1997. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior

Art Unit: 3767

application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Art Unit: 3767

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/2/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Insertion set for a transcutaneous sensor and fluid.

Claim Objections

5. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims

Art Unit: 3767

are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 38-61 been renumbered 36-59.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 36-39, 41-44, 46-51, 53-56, and 58-59 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Balbierz (4840613). Balbierz discloses an insertion set (Fig 1) having a mounting base (52); an infusion tubing (36), wherein the infusion tubing includes a connector (62); a cannula (12) coupled to the mounting base (52; Fig 1), wherein the cannula has a distal end protruding from the mounting base (14; Fig 1); the cannula is in fluid communication with the infusion tubing (18; Fig 1); an insertion needle (17) having a distal end protruding from the mounting base (17; Fig 1), wherein the insertion needle surrounds the inside of the cannula (Fig 1), and the insertion needle is withdrawable from the mounting base after the cannula is placed at the selected insertion site (col 12, lns 26-39); and an adhesive patch (col 7, lns 25-27) attached to an underside surface of the mounting base; wherein the connector includes a pair of recesses (62, 64), and the mounting base includes a pair of resilient latch arms (58, 60) rearwardly projecting from the mounting base and adapted for snap-fit, releasable engagement with the pair of recesses on the connector (Fig 1, 4); and

Art Unit: 3767

wherein the at least one lumen of the cannula is also adapted for withdrawing fluid from the patient (col 8, lns 32-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 40, 45, 52, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 9 unpatentable over Balbierz (4840613) in view of Lord et al (5390671). Balbierz discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the cannula further includes at least one lumen for receiving at least a portion of a flexible sensor, the sensor having a distal segment protruding from the mounting base with at least one sensor electrode. Lord et al teaches that it is known to have wherein the cannula further includes at least one lumen for receiving at least a portion of a flexible sensor therein, the sensor having a distal segment protruding from the mounting base (Figs 1-3) with at least one sensor electrode for the purpose of easily placing a sensor on a patient with the sensor electrodes in direct contact with patient blood so that appropriate blood chemistry readings can be taken. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor as taught by Balbierz with the sensor as taught by Lord et al for the purpose of easily placing a sensor on a patient with the sensor electrodes in direct contact with patient blood so that appropriate blood chemistry readings can be taken.

Art Unit: 3767

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 36-59 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-11, 20-35 of U.S. Patent No. 5954643. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the present application are merely broader than the copending case. Thus the invention claimed in the current application is merely generic to the species claimed in the parent application. It has been held that the generic invention is anticipated by the species.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Gilbert whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3767

272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571)272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Gilbert

MICHAEL J. HAYES
PRIMARY EXAMINER